

## Carbon Verifying Body: Protocols and Affirmations

In order to maintain Assidium Registry's requirement for consistent, high-quality credits, we rely on and require the integrity of the Project Manager and the Carbon Verifying Bodies (CVB's) that are managing the methodology, calibration, process and issuance of credits. Where the CVB is an accredited Body, please note here which agencies have accredited or approved the CVB here:

Where the credits themselves have been appro- list these bodies here:	ved by an existing registry/CVB combination; please
	<del></del>

Where none of the above applies, please answer in full:

Y/N:

- 1. With regards to the Project, is there
  - a. Open stakeholder engagement
  - b. Public forums for feedback
  - c. Due care for environmental and social impacts
  - d. Validation and verification process which conforms to the requirements of a recognised integrity body such as ICVCM/GS/other
    - i. If other, please state here:
- 2. With regards to the PDD, it should be consistent with ISO 14064-2:20197, and should include:
  - a. Project title, purpose(s) and objective(s);
  - b. Type of Project, including descriptions of how the Project will achieve Removal based on the calculation requirements laid out in the relevant Protocol;
  - c. Project location, including organizational, geographic and physical location information
  - d. conditions prior to Project initiation to support identification of Counterfactual CO<sub>2</sub> removals;
  - e. Project technologies, products and services;
  - f. anticipated aggregated net Removals likely to occur in tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e;
  - g. anticipated Uncertainty determination approach;
  - h. identification of risks that could substantially affect the Project's Removals, with measures to manage those risks;
  - i. roles and responsibilities, and contact information
  - j. a summary environmental impact assessment;

- k. description of stakeholder, community, or other interested party Consultations and mechanisms for ongoing communication, and outcomes of any such discussions;
- I. a chronological plan or actual dates and justification for the following:
- m. Project Start Date;
- n. Baseline time period;
- o. Project Crediting Period; and
- p. frequency of monitoring and reporting and the Project period, including relevant Project activities in each step of the Project cycle, as applicable; and
- q. Project Risk of Reversal.
- 3. With regards to the Methodology, do the following apply:
  - a. Up to date scientific rigor
  - b. Conservative calculations
  - c. Peer-reviewed methodology
- 4. Do the credits conform to the core principles of the Core Carbon Principles of the ICVCM, (with further adjustments):
  - a. Ownership
  - b. Effective governance
  - c. Tracking
  - d. Transparency
  - e. Robust independent third-party validation and verification
  - f. Additionality
    - i. Environmental
    - ii. Financial
    - iii. Regulatory
    - iv. Address and quantify the possibility of leakage
    - v. Address and quantify durability
  - g. Permanence
  - h. Robust quantification of emission reductions and removals
  - i. No double-counting
  - j. Sustainable development benefits and safeguards
  - k. Contribution to net zero transition
- 5. Does each carbon credit issued by your entity equal to one metric ton of CO2 or equivalent Greenhouse Gasses (CO2e)
- 6. With regard to monitoring and audits
  - a. How regular are the intended audits
  - b. Submitted results to the registry for public access
  - c. Verification report will be submitted to the Registry, containing at least, as per Isometric's requirements:
    - i. a statement that the Project Proponent is responsible for the fair presentation of the PDD in accordance with the criteria;
    - ii. a statement that the Verifier is responsible for expressing an opinion on the PDD based on the Verification;
    - iii. a description of the Verification evidence-gathering procedures used to assess the PDD;

- iv. the Verification opinion, which will state the net Removal during the covered period to a reasonable Level of Assurance;
- v. the date of the report;
- vi. the Verifier's location;
- vii. the Verifier's signature;
- viii. a summary of the Claimed Removals, as presented in the GHG Statement;
- ix. reference to the Verification criteria; and
- x. Verification scope.
- 7. With regard to Buffer Pools
  - a. Calculated on risk of reversal
  - b. Submitted to the registry for public access
- 8. With regard to data documentation, please confirm that at least the following will be made available to the Assidium Registry for public scrutiny: (where access to certain data must be restricted, please advise)
  - a. PDD
  - b. Measurements taken
  - c. Emission factors used
  - d. Scientific literature used
- 9. Please confirm there has been no risk of double-counting of credits during the course of the project
- 10. Where your entity is not ICVCM approved, please also complete the accompanying document 'ICVCM requirements for non-approved VVB/CVB.docx